

### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Entry of the foregoing amendment is respectfully requested because it is believed that entry will reduce the number of issues for appeal. Specifically, in claims 1 and 22, the word to which the Examiner objected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, has been replaced with alternative language which is believed to be of the same scope, while addressing the Examiner's concerns. While applicant does not agree with the Examiner that the prior terminology was ambiguous, it is urged that the amended language provides the same claim scope in a manner more preferable to the Examiner. Applicant does not see the allegedly ambiguous terminology in either of also-rejected claims 2 and 23. Hence, entry of the present amendment to claims 1 and 22 will desirably eliminate one of the issues for appeal.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on  
April 28, 2006:

\_\_\_\_\_  
William O. Gray, III

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name of applicant, assignee or  
Registered Representative

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
April 28, 2006

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date of Signature

Respectfully submitted,

\_\_\_\_\_  
William O. Gray, III

Registration No.: 30,944

OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP

1180 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10036-8403

Telephone: (212) 382-0700

WOG:db